



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/525,777	08/15/2005	Hartmut Geiger	056982/54	8485	
	7590 11/20/200 /IN NAFTALIS & FR		EXAM	INER	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			TO, TOAN C	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
		-	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/20/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

klpatent@kramerlevin.com

7.		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/525,777	GEIGER, HARTMUT		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Toan C. To	3616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2005.			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	·				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage		
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received		
	see the attached actained emoc action for a list	or the definited copies not	received.		
Attachmen	• /	_			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number:

10/525,777 Art Unit: 3616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

With respect to an air-discharge/dryer device, it contains the following different species:

Species 1: figure 1

Species 2: figure 6

Species 3: figure 7

Species 4: figure 8

Species 5: figure 9

With respect to a changeover-valve device, it contains the following different species:

Species 1: figure 1

Species 2: figure 10

Species 3: figure 11

Species 4: figure 12

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species for each of the air-discharge/dryer device and the changeover-valve device to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

Application/Control Number:

10/525,777 Art Unit: 3616

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Currently it appears that at least claim 1 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they are different embodiments and include structural details not related to each other.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 3616

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan C. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6677. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call/800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TTo

November 9, 2007

TOANTO PATENT EXAMINER